

#### Development of a Visually Calculated SUVmean (HIT Score) on Screening PSMA PET/CT to Predict Treatment Response to [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-PSMA Therapy: Comparison to Quantitative SUVmean and Patient Outcomes

Mina Swiha<sup>1,2</sup>, Nathan Papa<sup>3</sup>, Zahra Sabahi<sup>1</sup>, Narjess Ayati<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Nikieth John<sup>1,4</sup>, Sarennya Pathmanandavel<sup>1</sup>, Megan Crumbaker<sup>1,3,4,5</sup>, Sherrington Li<sup>1</sup>, Shikha Agrawal<sup>1</sup>, Maria Ayers<sup>1</sup>, Adam Hickey<sup>1</sup>, Shikha Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Nguyen<sup>1,4</sup>, Louise Emmett<sup>1,3,4</sup>

1. Department of Theranostics and Nuclear medicine, St Vincent's Hospital Sydney, Australia 2. Nuclear Medicine Division, Department of Medical Imaging, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada 3. Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, Australia 4. St Vincent's Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia 5. Kinghorn Cancer Centre Sydney, Australia.

#### No disclosures



#### BACKGROUND

 [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 improves overall survival (OS) in men with metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) post androgen receptor pathway inhibition and taxane chemotherapy (1).



[1] Sartor O, et al. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:1091-1103.



### BACKGROUND

- Semi-quantitatively derived SUVmean on screening PSMA PET is predictive of treatment response with [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 (2, 3).
- However, driving SUVmean requires dedicated software programs not currently clinically available.



[2] Kuo PH, et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2022;5002.[3] Buteau JP, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2022;23:1389-1397.





# AIM

- To develop a reproducible visual scoring system encompasses the elements of SUVmean, without requiring additional quantification
- Intensity
- Heterogeneity



- Datasets of patients from 3 published trials of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-PSMA therapy in patients with mCRPC.
  - Re-SPECT clinical registry
  - LuPIN prospective phase I/II trial
  - Lu-PSMA prospective phase II pilot trial
- Retrospective quantitative and visual analysis of screening [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT
- Correlation to patient outcomes: PSA 50% response rate, PSA-PFS and OS.

[4] John N, et al. J Nucl Med. 2023;64:410-415.
[5] Crumbaker M, et al. Eur Urol Oncol. 2021;4:963-970.
[6] Emmett L, et al. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2019;17:15-22.



 Semi-quantitative analysis using MIM encore was used to derive total body SUVmean.





#### Heterogenous



# METHODS

Visual assessment of **heterogeneity** on the

- Rotating 3D MIP images (SUV window 0-15).

- The fused PET/CT images.

0

- Concurrent contrast enhanced CT imaging to identify PSMA negative sites of disease.



- Binary visual heterogeneity score to determine **heterogenous** or homogenous
- If more than 20% of larger lesions had variable intensity (inter or intra-lesional), this was classified as heterogeneous



Homogenous

Heterogeneity was determined between lesions and within lesions – not compared to parotid or liver

was

uniform intensity. This have classified as homogenous.

•

SUVmax of the most intense lesion was used as a measure of **PMSA intensity**. Readers allocated an SUVmax range (<15, 15-29, 30-49, 50-79, ≥80).



 Modelling of data including heterogeneity and SUVmax range to quantitative SUVmean.

 A <u>4-point scale</u> incorporating both <u>h</u>eterogeneity and <u>i</u>ntensity of <u>t</u>umors (HIT) was determined to optimally stratify patient outcomes.



Scatterplot of weighted regression curves of log SUVmean vs SUVmax range, by visual heterogeneity score (Homogeneous vs Heterogeneous).



Score 1: SUVmax <15, score 2: SUVmax range 15-79 + heterogeneous, score 3: SUVmax range 15-79 + homogenous, score 4: SUVmax range ≥80.

	Intensity (SUVmax)				
	< 15	15-29	30-49	50-79	≥ 80
Heterogeneous	4	20	23	11	4
Homogeneous	1	14	24	25	13

HIT score (1-4) color-coded table incorporating SUVmax range (most intense lesion) and binary visual heterogeneity with patient numbers in each group (total n = 139).



### RESULTS

139 patients had screening PSMA PET/CT analyzed Median 4 doses [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-PSMA of 7.5 GBq.

Overall PSA50 was 54%

Median PSA-PFS 5.5 months (95%CI: 4.1 – 6.0) Median OS 13.5 months (95%CI: 11.1 – 17.9).



#### PSA50

- Increasing SUVmean was associated with higher PSA50.
- The PSA50 for a HIT score of 1 through 4 was 0% (0/5), 39% (21/54), 65% (41/63), and 76% (13/17), respectively.



Probability of PSA50 response rate according to quantitative SUVmean (continuous variable).



**HIT score PSA-PFS** 

#### **PSA Progression-free survival**

- Both SUVmean quartiles and HIT score statistically significantly predicted PSA-PFS (log-rank P <0.001)</li>
- The median PFS for HIT score 1 through 4 was 1.0, 4.1, 6.0, and 8.5 months, respectively.

#### SUVmean PSA-PFS



Kaplan Meier curve (log-rank tests) of PSA-PFS (A) for SUVmean (B) for one through four HIT score.



#### **Overall Survival**

- SUVmean quartiles showed borderline correlation for OS (P =0.051)
- HIT • However, score showed significant correlation for OS (logrank *P* =0.002).
- The median OS for HIT score 1 through 4 was 7.6, 12.0, 18.5, and 16.9 months.

#### SUVmean OS



Q1: < 6.46

#### **HIT score OS**



Kaplan Meier curve (log-rank tests) of OS (A) for SUVmean (B) for one through four HIT score.



# RESULTS

- HIT score and SUVmean quartiles showed comparable predictive power for
- PSA-PFS (Somers' D= 0.25 vs 0.27)
- o OS (0.15 vs 0.16)
- HIT score predictive power exceeded those for SUVmax range quartiles (PFS=0.17, OS=0.12).
- The inter-rater agreement (Cohen's kappa) of the HIT score was substantial at 0.71 (95% CI: 0.60 – 0.82) and percentage agreement was 82%.





# CONCLUSION

- A PSMA PET/CT score incorporating both heterogeneity and intensity of tumors (HIT) derived from tools on a standard PET workstation, is comparable to quantitative SUVmean as a prognostic tool following [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-PSMA therapy.
- Further studies are warranted to validate the clinical utility of the HIT score.

