BUU Conservative and radiological management of simple renal cysts: a comprehensive review

Andreas Skolarikos, M. Pilar Laguna* and Jean J.M.C.H. de la Rosette*

Athens Medical School, 2nd Department of Urology, Sismanoglio Hospital, Athens, Greece, and *Academic Medical Center University of Amsterdam, Department of Urology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Accepted for publication 9 September 2011

To review the conservative and radiological management of simple renal cysts a systematic literature review was performed. Simple renal cysts are commonly found in the adult population. Increasing age is highly associated with its incidence. When they remain asymptomatic they require neither treatment nor follow-up. When the shape of the cyst is slightly irregular follow-up is mandatory to exclude malignant progression. Symptomatic cysts require intervention. Ultrasound or computed tomography guidance have been effectively used for cyst puncture. However, simple fluid aspiration is ineffective leading to cyst recurrence. Aspiration should be accompanied with the injection of a sclerosing agent to destroy renal cyst epithelium. Several issues such as the

What's known on the subject? and What does the study add?

Simple renal cysts are a common entity, which may need observation and follow-up or treatment.

The study, for the first time, systematically reviews the indications for follow-up or radiological treatment of simple renal cysts.

ultimate technique and agent remain to be clarified. High rates of cyst disappearance and long-lasting cyst volume reduction have been reported with the use of various sclerosants. Ethanol in high concentrations and multiple injections is more commonly used with new agents showing similar efficacy and better complication profile. Studies comparing radiological intervention to surgical excision are lacking. Simple renal cysts may not require treatment when asymptomatic. Radiological intervention with the use of sclerosants needs further evaluation and comparison with other treatment methods.

KEYWORDS

simple renal cyst, natural history, conservative treatment, radiological intervention

INTRODUCTION

Renal cysts are acquired lesions of the kidney that more commonly affect the elderly population [1–3]. Autopsy studies reported a 50% incidence of renal cysts after the age of 50 years [2]. However, the actual incidence is difficult to determine. Populations having health screening [4,5] showed lower prevalence of simple renal cyst than populations who were admitted or followed at clinics [6–8], while the prevalence of simple renal cyst detected by CT was higher than the prevalence determined by ultrasonography (US) [9].

It is thought that renal cysts originate by the weakening of the tubular basement membrane of the distal convoluted or collecting duct cells. As a result, a diverticula is formed that can subsequently develop in to a simple renal cyst [10,11]. Risk factors for the formation of renal cysts are serum creatinine, smoking, male gender, hypertension and age. However, given the retrospective nature of the reported studies, these associations could be coincidental. The only persistent cofounder of all reported associations is increasing age [5,12,13]. It is estimated that 20% of the population by the age of 40 years, and >30% by the age of 60 years harbour renal cysts [3].

Simple renal cysts usually remain untreated requiring intervention only when they cause symptoms or undergo a complication [5,14]. Herein, we have performed a systematic review of conservative treatment and radiological intervention for simple renal cysts.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We performed a systematic literature search using the terms 'renal cyst', 'natural history', 'observation', 'conservative treatment', 'radiological treatment', 'aspiration', 'sclerotherapy', 'sclerosants' and 'sclerosing agent' in the Medline, Embase and PubMed databases. Meta-analyses, randomised controlled trials, systematic reviews, controlled cohort studies and observational studies were reviewed. The literature search revealed >150 studies, of which mainly studies published in English language were reviewed. Excluding the vast majority of case reports and irrelevant studies, ≈ 100 studies were available for citation. The creation of tables incorporating the results of simple renal cyst aspiration and sclerotherapy for future literature comparison was intended.

RESULTS

CONSERVATIVE TREATMENT OF SIMPLE RENAL CYSTS

The decision to treat or not to treat asymptomatic simple renal cysts should be

TABLE 1	Bosniak renal cyst class	ification		
Stage	Cyst wall	Septa	Calcification	Enhancement
Ι	Hairline thin	No	No	No
II	Minimal regular thickening	Few, hairline thin	Smooth, hairline thin	No
IIF*	Minimal regular thickening	Multiple, minimal smooth thickening	Thick, nodular	No
111	Irregular thickening	Measurably thick, irregular	Thick, nodular, irregular	Yes
IV	Gross irregular thickening	Irregular gross thickening	Thick, nodular, irregular	Yes, tissue and cyst

*F in IIF is for follow-up. Cyst size of >3 cm in diameter is another criterion for follow-up and by extension inclusion in class [18].

based on the natural history of this entity. At diagnosis, 70-80% of simple renal cysts are solitary unilateral and cortical [15]. Renal cysts tend to progress in number and size with age, while spontaneous regression is extremely rare [16]. Long-term follow-up of patients with asymptomatic cysts showed that the diameter of a renal cyst may increase by \approx 1.6 mm or about 5% annually, and may double the original size over 10 vears [5.7.17]. Simple cysts tend to increase rapidly in size during the first 2 or 3 years after recognition, but their enlargement decelerates with longer follow-up periods. The vast majority of renal cysts increase in size to less than twice their original size [5].

Several studies indicated that cyst aggressiveness is predicted by age, cyst shape and laterality. Renal cysts in younger patients progress more rapidly than those in older patients, while multi-loculated cysts grew more rapidly than simple cysts. Bilateral cysts may also be more aggressive compared with unilateral ones [5,7,17]. Based on the Bosniak renal cyst classification system, multi-loculated cysts are classified into category 2, which do not require further evaluation (Table 1 [18]). Category IIF lesions are well-emarginated containing multiple hairline thin septa or minimal smooth thickening of their wall or septa without measurable enhancement. These lesions are considered to be benign, but require follow-up with CT. Their configuration should be stable over time with changes in the cystic wall shape indicating a cystic renal neoplasm, necessitating further evaluation [18,19].

Only a few studies have reported a clinical course of malignant change from simple renal cysts. These studies mainly presented case reports [20-22]. When pathology was correlated with the Bosniak classification, the risk of malignancy occurring in a simple cystic lesion was 1.7% [23]. In a prospective study of 61 patients with simple renal cysts followed for up to 14 years, only two developed renal neoplasms originating from the renal cyst [5]. Bosniak category II lesions are minimally or moderately complicated cysts for which radiographic surveillance is usually recommended, as the risk for malignancy is 5-10% [23,24]. In all these studies, the total number of patients included was small and it is likely that the real risk of malignancy in simple renal cysts is actually much lower than the aforementioned [5,23]. These studies indicated that neither the actual size nor size changes were specific for the development of a renal neoplasm. The rate of increase in the cyst size in patients with renal cancer was similar to that in other patients of the same age. Based on these data it is extremely difficult to clarify whether the regular follow-up of the renal cysts is of benefit in the early detection of a malignancy. Patient prognosis in the above studies was mainly determined by the histological type of the malignant lesion at diagnosis [5]. Radiographic surveillance is an effective method for managing patients with minimally or moderately complex renal cysts. Malignant lesions can be identified and removed while still of low grade and stage and surgery can be avoided in most patients [24].

Although more and higher level evidence is needed, essentially the current evidence is not to pursue asymptomatic benign renal cysts even when these are increasing in size. Although there no evidence-based guidelines the available data suggests that class II Bosniak cysts are benign but may need periodic evaluation by US for the first 2-3 years, especially in younger patients with cyst diameters of >3 cm. Class IIF cysts should be followed by CT at 3, 6 and 12 months and annually thereafter [13,15]. This follow-up approach has been shown to be safe and has prevented an unnecessary surgical intervention in >95% of patients [18,25].

In 2-4% of the cases, simple renal cysts become symptomatic due to enlargement or the development of a complication such as haemorrhage, infection or rupture. In addition, they may cause calyceal or renal pelvic obstruction [8,13-15]. They may then present with flank pain, abdominal discomfort, a palpable mass, or haematuria. However, in a controlled epidemiological study of 1526 consecutive patients the prevalence of hypertension, flank pain, erythrocytosis, haematuria, and proteinuria was not increased in patients with simple renal cysts. The association of these symptoms with simple renal cysts has to be considered merely coincidental [8]. Clinical symptoms are more common with neoplasm than simple cysts, and the onset of symptoms should always raise the possibility of an associated malignancy and the need for additional diagnostic studies [13].

The association between simple renal cysts and the incidence of hypertension is controversial. Since the initial report about the development of hypertension by simple renal cyst by Farrell and Young [26], several authors reported cure or improvement of hypertension after decompression of a large cyst [27–30]. Most studies currently indicate that when patients are stratified with clinical parameters associated with hypertension, the presence of cyst is related to hypertension but not to renal dysfunction. The number and the size of the cysts are independent risk factors to the prevalence of hypertension [4,11,31,32].

RADIOLOGICAL INTERVENTION

Symptomatic simple renal cysts can be treated in various ways ranging from simple

aspiration with or without the use of sclerotic agents, to surgical excision via open, percutaneous, laparoscopic, or robotic surgery [5]. Imaging guided percutaneous renal cyst aspiration with or without sclerosing therapy has been performed to treat simple renal cysts since 1970s. The method has been considered minimally invasive, safe and of low cost [33–98].

However, the procedure has not been standardized as vet. US and CT have both been used to guide therapy and document its result, but no comparative study exists between the two imaging methods. The ultimate outcome of the procedure has not been clarified. Renal cyst disappearance, reduction of cyst size or fluid volume and elimination of patients' symptoms have all been used separately as endpoints of treatment success. The improvement in symptoms is not necessarily associated with complete resolution of the cyst, while disappearance of the cyst is not always associated with symptom relief. The duration of success and follow-up of the result varies in the published data.

In addition, although favourable therapeutic outcomes have been reported by varying the sclerosing technique and the agent, the optimal technique of treating renal cysts and the best agent for renal cyst sclerotherapy remain to be determined. Several factors in renal cyst sclerotherapy require optimisation. These factors include the choice of the agent, its concentration and volume in relation to cyst volume, the duration of sclerotherapy per session, the number of injections required in relation to cyst volume, patient position after sclerosant administration, whether continuous drainage is needed before and after slcerotherapy, and duration of drainage.

Both US-fluoroscopy combination [33– 63,65–92,94,95,97,98] and CT [64,93,96] are used in percutaneous treatment of renal cysts. Real-time US is preferred if the cyst is clearly visualised and a safe access route can be guaranteed. US is cost-effective and without radiation but it is very dependent upon the skill of the operator. CT is preferable in difficult anatomies and body habitus such as the obese patients. In addition, by injecting i.v. contrast medium the presence of a communication between the simple renal cyst and the collecting system can be excluded. Adapting modern CT techniques and keeping the number of CT slices to a minimum reduces the radiation dose to the patient [93,96].

Simple cyst drainage without sclerotherapy is associated with a recurrence rate of 30–80%. The secretory epithelium, lining the cystic wall, leads to the high rate of fluid re-accumulation after simple aspiration [33,35,42]. In fact, the water turnover in simple renal cysts can be as high as 200 mL/24 h [31]. In most cases after simple aspiration cyst fluid re-accumulates so that 24 months after treatment there is no difference in size between cysts that were aspirated and those that had no treatment [43].

Attempts have been made to destroy the secretary epithelium by injection of various sclerosing agents into the cysts to reduce the rate of recurrence. Various slcerosants have been used to provoke inflammation and adhesion of the cystic wall. These agents include ethanol [33-64], glucose [65], phenol [66], pantopaque [35,67,68], bismuth phosphate [69,70], ethanolamine oleate [71-74], quiacrine hydrochloride [71,75], morrhuate sodium [76], tetracycline and mynocycline [77-80], fibrin glue [81], carbon dioxide [82], polidocanol [83], acetic acid [84-89], povidone iodine [90,91], n-butyl cyanoacrylate and iodized oil [92,93], chitosan [94], sodium tetradecyl sulphate (STDS) [95], hypertonic saline [96] and OK-432 [97,98].

Several factors must be considered before selecting the most effective agent with the least complications. Such factors include toxicity, adverse systemic and local effects, secondary infection, availability and cost-effectiveness. Several materials used as sclerosants in the past have been abandoned because of adverse reactions.

Ethanol is the most commonly used sclerosing material for cyst ablation [33–64] (Table 2 [39,41–44,47,48,50,52,54,57– 59,63,64]). When injected at 95% or 99% concentrations it rapidly destroys (1–3 min) the secreting cells on the cystic wall, without affecting the renal parenchyma, as it penetrates the fibrous capsule of the cyst in 4–12 h. The time of exposure to the sclerosant varies widely from 10 min to 4 h [58,60]. The volume of alcohol injected after aspiration varies from 20% to 50% of cyst volume, the maximal dose being 75–200 mL in various reports [53,55–60]. Although volumes of ethanol up to 350 mL have been uniquely administered for the treatment of a giant renal cyst without any complication [46], the vast majority of the studies have used <100 mL of ethanol as their upper limit.

Ethanol is most commonly applied in a prolonged 20-min single-session [39,41,42,44,47,53,55,57-59,63,86,96]. However, recurrence rates of >30% have been reported and multiple sessions may be required to achieve a durable result [43-45,47,50,52,59,88,95,97]. Multiple sessions are time-consuming (12-h to 2-day interval between sessions) and may be related with increased ethanol leakage and additional patient discomfort. The latter is associated with the occurrence of infection. the multiple punctures needed for repeated aspiration or with the placement of a catheter in the renal cyst for several days for complete drainage of the transudate by sclerotherapy.

The recurrence of renal cysts after sclerotherapy is attributed to incomplete ablation of the cyst wall. The recurrence is mainly due to the dilution of ethanol by the fluid remaining in the renal cysts. In addition, the collapsed cyst after aspiration of the content might have many folds with pursed areas inaccessible to ethanol. This pertains especially to extremely large cysts (>500 mL liquid evacuated) or when a low volume of the agent is injected [41,88].

The use of a three-way tube to prevent the air from getting into the renal cyst, repetition of fluid aspiration to reduce the presence of debris adherent to the cyst wall [52], continuous-negative pressure catheter drainage [63], continuous drainage of the cyst for 24 h before therapy [54], prolonged contact of the cyst wall with the sclerosing agent for 90 min [53] to 4 h [58], no drainage of the agent after finishing the procedure [57] and multiple injections allow ethanol to reach the entire cyst wall in high concentrations [43-45,48,50,52,59,88,95,97]. Finally, monitoring ethanol concentration with measuring fluid density during CT may increase the success rate of sclerotherapy [64].

There may be a relation between the degree of response and cyst size, with larger cysts

Meteric. No. Critication of control of contro of control of contro of contr	TABLE 2 Simple renal cyst sclerotherapy with ethanol	nl cyst sch	erotherapy with e	ethanol							
operation of operation		No	Cyst size cm/	% Ethanol (mL/%	US/CT, number of	Follow-up,	Cyst disappearance,	Cyst size reduction (%	Symptoms	Volume	Complication (number of
	erence	cysts	volume mL	of cyst volume)	sessions	months	0/0	of cysts)	disappearance, %	reduction,%	patients)
	jür et al. [39]	22	na/190-780	96 (na/25)	US, single	3-6	100	na	na	na	Microscopic haematuria (2)
	Diasty <i>et al.</i> [41]	30	na/170	95	US, single	19	83	17	100	па	Microscopic haematuria (2) Fever (2)
	nna <i>et al.</i> [42]	20	na/25-500	Aspiration	US/fluoroscopy, single	24	20	na	na	na	
		19		95 (na/25)	US, single	24	68	па	na	na	Pain/fever (2) haematuria (1)
		13		95 (na/25)	US, multiple	24	100	na	na	na	2
42 6.12/na 99 US, single 124 33 38 1 70 6.75/na 99 (<100/na)	ntana <i>et al.</i> [43]	72	10.8/na	95	US, multiple	48	97	1	56	па	Surgical exploration for bleeding (1)
40 $6.75/ha$ 99 US, multiple 15.4 73 23 23 11 77 8.62/ha 99 (<100/ha)	ung <i>et al.</i> [44]	42	6.12/na	66	US, single	12.9	19	38	71.4	na	0
Sol 72 $73/na$ $99 (<100/na)$ US, multiple 55 22 na 11 77 $882/na$ $95 (<100/na)$ US, multiple 36 76 21^{1} 1^{1} 21 17 $10/na$ $95 (<100/na)$ US, multiple 36 76 21^{1} 1^{1} 21 17 $10/na$ $998 (na/15)$ US, multiple 60 71 22^{2} 11^{1} 1		40	6.75/na	66	US, multiple	15.4	73	23	91	na	Pain/fever (10)
	ananen <i>et al.</i> [50]	32	7.8/na	99 (<100/na)	US, multiple	55	22	вп	75 asymptomatic 6 reduction 6 stable 6	ап	0
									increased		
1 42 $9.8/100-570$ $56/na/510$ US , single prolonged 36 76 21 23 17 $10/na$ $99.8(na/15)$ US , single multiple 60 71 22 232 $88/357$ $99(20/na)$ US , single multiple 60 71 22 233 $9.1/394$ $99(20/na)$ US , single multiple 60 71 22 238 $9.1/394$ $99(20/na)$ US , single multiple 60 71 22 238 $9.1/394$ $99(20/na)$ US , single multiple 60 71 22 238 $9.1/394$ $99(20/na)$ US , single multiple 60 71 22 230 17344 $99(20/na)$ US , single multiple 60 71 23 231 $91/394$ $9(20/na)$ US , single multiple 60 91 9100 0 231 $11/394$ $91/394$ 100 00 00 0 0 14 $833/2233$ $95(<100/na)$	akas <i>et al.</i> [48]	77	8.62/na	95 (<100/na)	US, multiple	30	83.82	11.76	na	na	Pain (6)
11 $10/na$ $99.8 (na/15)$ $US, multiple$ 12 85.7 14 252 $8.8/357$ $99 (20/na)$ $US, single multiple 60 71 22 252 8.8/357 99 (20/na) US, single multiple 60 71 22 238 9.1/394 99 (20/na) US, single multiple 60 9 229 238 9.1/394 99 (20/na) US, single (systs) 100 0 0 238 9.1/394 99 (20/na) US, single (systs) 100 0 0 238 9.1/394 99 (20/na) US, single (systs) 100 0 0 24 8.3/23 99.5 (<100/na) US, single (systs) 61.5 38.5 23.4 14 8.3/223 95 (<100/na) US, single (systs) 24 28 36.6 46 na/309 95 (<100/na) US, single 4.1h 28 21.4 57.1 46 na/300 95 (<100/na) US, single 4.1h 28 $	Dominicis et al.	42	9.8/100-570	95 (na/33)	US, single prolonged	36	76	21	100	na	Pain (3) Haemorrhage (1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(47) sparini <i>et al.</i> [52]	17	10/na	99.8 (na/15)	draınage US, multiple	12	85.7	14	100	вЦ	Abdominal discomfort fever
238 $9.1/394$ 99 ($20/na$) US , single multiple 60 9 29 64 T $95 (< 150/na)$ US , single ($small$ 19 100 0 64 T $95 (< 150/na)$ US , single ($small$ 19 100 0 64 T $95 (< 150/na)$ US , single ($small$ 19 100 0 71 30 $na/280$ $99.5 (< 100/na)$ US , single ($small$ 19 100 0 14 $8.3/223$ $95 (< 150/na)$ US , single 4 h 28 21.4 57.1 46 $na/309$ $95 (< 100/na)$ US , single 4 h 28 21.4 57.1 46 $na/309$ $95 (< 100/na)$ US , single 4 h 28 21.4 57.1 46 $na/309$ $95 (< 100/na)$ US , single 5 24 28 na 46 $na/309$ $95 (< 100/na)$ US , single 5 24 22 na 45 $6.53/na$ $99.9 (< 100/na)$ US , single 5	uloupidis e <i>t al.</i> [54]	252	8.8/357	24 st	US, single multiple injections (for large cysts)	60	12	22	66	в	Na
64 95 (<150/na)		238	9.1/394	99 (20/na) instilled with cvst puncture	US, single multiple injections (for large cysts)	60	Ø	29	a	в	Na
I. 30 na/280 99.5 (<100/na) US, single 6 56.6 36.6 14 8.3/223 95 (<150/na)	hsen and 3omha [59]	64		95 (<150/na)	US, single (small cysts) US, multiple (large	19	100 61.5	0 38.5	100	er n	Fever (2)
 a) na/280 99.5 (<100/na) US, single 4 h b) 56.6 36.6 36.6 36.6 c) 14 8.3/223 95 (<150/na) US, single 4 h c) 21.4 5.7.1 c) 14 8.3/223 95 (<100/na) US, single 4 h c) 24 28 na d) 300 95 (<100/na) US, continuous 24 52 na d) 300 95 (<100/na) US, continuous 24 52 na d) 300 95 (<100/na) CT, single 6 55 25 					cysts)						
14 8:3/223 95 (<150/na)	ci-Júnior <i>et al.</i> [57]	30	na/280	99.5 (<100/na)	US, single	9	56.6	36.6	93	11.6 mL	0
46 na/309 95 (<100/na)	<i>et al.</i> [58]	14	8.3/223	95 (<150/na)	US, single 4 h retained material	28	21.4	57.1	100	97.9	
46 na/330 95 (<100/na) US, continuous 24 52 na drainage drainage 6 55 25 45 6.53/na 99.9 (<100/na)	em <i>et al.</i> [63]	46	na/309	95 (<100/na)	US, single	24	28	па	93	18.5 mL	Intoxication (8) Headache (7) Nausea (3)
45 6.53/na 99.9 (<100/na) CT, single 6 55 25 dole .		46	na/330	95 (<100/na)	US, continuous drainage	24	52	па		0 mL	0
not available .	<i>et al.</i> [64]	45	6.53/na	99.9 (<100/na)	CT, single	Q	55	25	na	na	Flank pain (5) Fever (3)
	not available .										

necessitating multiple injections [48,59,95]. Interestingly, the complete disappearance of the cyst may take as long as 6–12 months, and as a consequence, abdominal US showing residual cyst during this period does not signify failure or recurrence. Initial relapse of a cyst after ethanol sclerotherapy may be secondary to transient, reactive or inflammatory fluid collections, which eventually disappear within several months [57,62].

Alcohol injection is associated with complications, including pain, fever, and systematic reactions, e.g. intoxication and shock. The complications are more common in the management of large cysts, which require more alcohol for sclerosing. Pain can be avoided by injection of a local anesthetic in the cyst before the injection of the sclerosing agent and/or with systemic analgesia 30 min before the procedure, or sedation [42,57].

Alcohol intoxication is an extremely rare complication. When hepatic cysts have been treated by ethanol sclerotherapy the mean (SD) blood alcohol concentration was found to be 0.38 (0.32) g/L in all measured patients and the highest value was 1.02 g/L [40]. Ethanol sclerotherapy of renal cysts may lead to measurable alcohol levels in the blood in 40% of patients. The values are low enough (0.01-0.30 g/L) to treat the patients safely on an outpatient basis. Increased levels were detected in cases with some haemorrhage into the cyst caused by the puncture [50]. When alcohol intoxication occurred, the maximum blood alcohol concentration was 73-120 mg/dL at 3 h after alcohol instillation. All symptoms and signs disappeared during the first 24 h after the procedure [63]. When large cysts are treated and a volume of >100 mL ethanol is anticipated it is recommended that ethanol dose titration with an alcohol breath analyzer should be done in each and every case.

Extravasation of the sclerosing agent is a rare complication. It rarely causes serious problems even when peripelvic cysts have been treated [48,58], although fibrosis of the PUJ and subsequent obstruction have been reported [36].

Bleeding in a voluminous cystic cavity after rapid percutaneous drainage can occur. Some investigators registered up to

TABLE 3 Simple renal cyst sclerotherapy with agents other than ethanol	cyst sclero	therapy with ageni	ts other than ethanol							
						Cyst	Cyst size	Symptoms		
	No	Cyst size, cm/	Sclerosant (mL/‱ cyst	US/CT, number	Follow-up,	disappearance,	reduction,	disappearance,	Volume	Complication
Reference	cysts	volume mL	volume)	sessions	months	0/0	% of cysts	0/0	reduction, %	(number of patients)
Holmberg and	62	3.1/na	Observation		36	0	7	na	0	
Hietala [70]	57	5.4/na	Aspiration	US/fluoroscopy,	36	10	27	na	5	Pain (4) Haemorrhage
				single						(3)
	59	5.2/na	Aspiration + 0.1–0.6 g	US/fluoroscopy,	36	44	50.7	na	79	Pain (8) Haemorrhage
			bismuth phosphate	single						(2) Fever (5)
										Eczema (1)
Ohkawa <i>et al.</i> [78]	177	5.5/na	Minocycline	US, single	>3	44.8	31.8	na	na	Pain/fever (21)
	30	4.7/na	Aspiration	US, single	>3	0	Ð	na	na	Fever (2)
Kilinc et al. [80]	56	6.9/na	Tetracycline	US, single	9.8	39.3	46.4	89.7	na	na
	20		Aspiration	US, single	9.9	D	20	na	na	na
Ohta <i>et al.</i> [83]	15		3% polidocanol	US, single	1-24	93	na	na	na	0
Phelan <i>et al.</i> [90]	2	6-15/na	Povidone-iodine	US, single	7.2	60	20	100	na	0
Madeb <i>et al.</i> [91]	16	3-10.5/na	Povidone iodine	US, multiple	22	18.5	na	25	33-86	0
Yamamoto <i>et al.</i> [74]	4	$9 \times 6/60 - 150$	Ethanolamine oleate	US, single	ю	0	na	100	90–97 (mean	Fever (1)
									93)	
Kwon <i>et al.</i> [87]	32	na/245	50% acetic acid (na/23)	US, single	30.5	66	97.4	100	па	0
	35	na/283	50% acetic acid (na/8)	US, single	27.3	63	96.9	100	na	0
Yoo <i>et al.</i> [89]	60	na/244	50% acetic acid	US, single	10	76.7	20	na	na	Pain (9) Nausea/
			(<100/20)							vomiting (3)
Choi <i>et al.</i> [98]	61	8.2/na	0K-432	US, single	12	74	22.9	100	na	Pain (12) Fever (6)
										Leukocytosis (4)
Baysal et al. [93]	27	5.6/na	NBCA and iodized oil	CT, single	9.1	na	2.1	87	na	0
MDCA a bittid a MODA	in the second	a not available								
מטכא, וו-טעואו-נאמווטענואועוב, ווע, ווטו מעמוועטוב.	מרו אותובי ווי	מ' גוחר מעמוומחוב.								

TABLE 4 Simple re.	nal cysi	t sclerotherapy: c	TABLE 4 Simple renal cyst sclerotherapy: comparative studies							
	No	Cyst size, cm/	Cyst size, cm/ Sclerosant (mL/% of cyst		Follow-up,	Cyst disappearance,	Cyst size reduction Symptoms	Symptoms	Volume	Complication
Reference	cysts	volume mL	volume)	US/CT, number sessions	months	0/0	(% of cysts)	disappearance, %	reduction, %	(number of patients)
Okeke <i>et al.</i> [49]	9	06/90	95% ethanol (<75/20)	US, single	17	na	na	0	na	Pain (1)
	7	8/250	Laparoscopy	de-roofing	17.7	100	na	100	na	Transfusion (1)
Demir <i>et al.</i> [95]	34	8.5/252	95% ethanol (na/25)	US, multiple (stratified	9.2	82	6	na	na	Haematuria (2) Fever
				to cyst size)						(2) Pain score 3.8
	34	8.5/256	3% STDS (na/25)	US, multiple (stratified	9.5	26	18	na	na	Haematuria (1) Fever
				to cyst size)						(1) Pain score 2.1
Egilmez <i>et al.</i>	36	na/165	95% ethanol (<100/25)	CT, single	9	94	2.7		na	Pain (10)
[96]	36	na/178	20% hypertonic saline	CT, single	9	72	2.7		na	0
			(<100/25)							
Ham <i>et al.</i> [97]	50	8.4/359	99% ethanol (na/25)	US, multiple	22	68	16	90.3	na	Pain (13) Fever (4)
										Leukocytosis (3)
	61	8.2/337	OK-432 (<100/25)	US, single	14	75.4	23	100	na	Pain (6) Fever (3)
										Leukocytosis (2)
Seo <i>et al.</i> [86]	28	na/209	99% ethanol (<100/<25)	US/fluoroscopy, single	>12	32	72.8	na	na	Pain (3)
	32	na/301	50% acetic acid/<100/<25	US/fluoroscopy, single	>12	66	89	na	na	Pain (3)
Cho <i>et al.</i> [88]	40	na/168	99% ethanol (<200/24.5)	US, multiple	13	60	30	80	86	Pain (5)
	32	na/208	50% acetic acid (na/<25)	US, single	18	90.6	9.4	97	97.3	Pain (15)
na, not available.										

CONSERVATIVE AND RADIOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF SIMPLE RENAL CYSTS

 $57 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ at percutaneous puncture of renal cysts [34,38].

It seems that the success rate with ethanol is better (97%) than that reported with pantopaque (23–82%) [67,68], bismuth phosphate (44–81%) [69,70], minocycline and tetracycline (44–71%) [77–80], povidone-iodine (18–60%) [90,91], ethanolamine oleate (65–97%) [71–74], carbon dioxide (71–100%) [84], and chitosan (90%) [94] (Table 3 [70,74,78,80,83,87, 89–91,93,98]). However, other sclerosants such as acetic acid (66–96.7%) [84–89], STDS [95] and OK-432 [97,98] seem equally or more effective than ethanol.

There are several studies directly comparing ethanol with other slcerosing agents [86,88,95-97] (Table 4 [49,86,88,95-97]). Acetic acid has a strong ability to penetrate cells and can dissolve lipids and extract collagen. Acetic acid has been reported to be an effective sclerosing agent for renal cysts owing to its faster and more complete sclerosing effect compared with ethanol. In addition, the amount of sclerosing agent and the frequency of the procedure are decreased when acetic acid is used [86,88]. Demir et al. [95] in a randomised study, compared ethanol to STDS, an embolization agent. Both agents were simple noninvasive, cost-effective and well tolerated sclerosants for the treatment of simple renal cysts. STDS caused less pain and it may be preferable. Egilmez et al. [96] in a randomised trial reported that ethanol sclerotherapy under CT guidance was more effective than 20% hypertonic saline sclerotherapy. Sclerotherapy was more effective in the ethanol group while hypertonic saline may be an option for patients preferring to undergo a less painful treatment procedure. OK-432 is a lyophilized incubation mixture of the low virulent Su strain of type III, group A Streptococcus pyogenes of human origin with penicillin G potassium that has lost its streptolysin S-producing ability. The mechanism of action of OK-432 is probably damage to the endothelial lining which causes obliteration of the cavity and prevents further accumulation of fluid in the lesion. OK-432 does not penetrate the cystic wall, does not cause any scarring of the renal parenchyma and as a result, drainage is not required. Ham et al. [97] retrospectively compared the result of ethanol 99% multiple injection sclerotherapy with OK-432 single injection sclerotherapy.

SKOLARIKOS *ET AL.*

The latter was simpler, safer and more effective for the treatment of simple cysts, especially large cysts.

Ethanol sclerotherapy has been compared with other treatment methods for simple renal cysts. Okeke et al. [53] have compared single-session ethanol sclerotherapy and laparoscopic de-roofing in the management of symptomatic simple renal cysts with a limited number (six and seven patients in each group) of patients without randomisation and they found laparoscopic treatment more effective than sclerotherapy. Recurrence of pain was observed in five of six patients in the sclerotherapy group and no recurrence was seen in the laparoscopic group. The patients in the laparoscopy group stayed hospitalised for a significantly longer period, while in one patient 2 blood units were transfused due to haemorrhage. The high recurrence rate of sclerotherapy in this study might be due to the lower ethanol volume which was a maximum of 75 mL and 20% of cyst volume [98]. However, randomised studies with larger patient groups are required to compare effectiveness, complications and costs of laparoscopic and percutaneous sclerotherapy techniques using higher ethanol volumes.

CONCLUSIONS

Asymptomatic simple renal cysts may require neither treatment nor further follow-up. Asymptomatic cysts with minor shape irregularities (Bosniak category IIF) still need no treatment but require regular follow-up preferably with CT.

Although, a causative association between simple renal cysts and patient symptoms is not always justified, the vast majority of studies indicate that symptomatic renal cysts should be treated.

Radiological intervention has stood the test of time. Simple aspiration is ineffective and should be combined with the use of a sclerosing agent. However, many issues such as the best technique and the ultimate sclerosant remain to be clarified. Ethanol in high concentration and multiple injections seems to be highly effective. Newer agents show comparable sclerosing efficacy with a better complication profile. Well-designed randomised studies comparing sclerosing therapy to surgical intervention are still needed.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Laucks SP Jr, McLachlan MS. Aging and simple cysts of the kidney. Br J Radiol 1981; 54: 12–54
- 2 Kissane JM, Smith MG. Pathology of Infancy and Childhood, 2nd edn. St. Louis: CV Mosby, 1975: 587
- 3 Tada S, Yamagishi J, Kobayashi H et al. The incidence of simple renal cyst by computed tomography. *Clin Radiol* 1983; 34: 437–9
- 4 Chin HJ, Ro H, Lee HJ, Na KY, Chae DW. The clinical significances of simple renal cyst: is it related to hypertension or renal dysfunction? *Kidney Int* 2006; 70: 1468–73
- 5 Terada N, Arai Y, Kinukawa N, Terai A. The 10-year natural history of simple renal cysts. Urology 2008; 71: 7–12
- 6 Carrim ZI, Murchison JT. The prevalence of simple renal and hepatic cysts detected by spiral computed tomography. *Clin Radiol* 2003; 58: 626–9
- 7 Marumo K, Horiguchi Y, Nakagawa K et al. Incidence and growth pattern of simple cysts of the kidney in patients with asymptomatic microscopic hematuria. Int J Urol 2003; 10: 63–7
- 8 Caglioti A, Esposito C, Fuiano C et al. Prevalence of symptoms in patients with simple renal cysts. BMJ 1993; 306: 430-1
- 9 Ravine D, Gibson RN, Donlan J et al. An ultrasound renal cyst prevalence survey: specificity data for inherited renal cystic diseases. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1993; 22: 803–7
- 10 Darmady EM, Offer J, Woodhouse MA. The parameters of the ageing kidney. *J Pathol* 1973; **109**: 195–207
- 11 Baert L, Steg A. Is the diverticulum of the distal and collecting tubules a preliminary stage of the simple cyst in the adult? J Urol 1977; 118: 707– 10
- 12 Terada N, Arai Y, Kinukawa N, Yoshimura K, Terai A. Risk factors for renal cysts. *BJU Int* 2004; 93: 1300–2
- 13 Eknoyan G. A clinical view of simple and complex renal cysts. J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 20: 1874–6
- 14 Richter S, Karbel G, Bechar R, Pikielny

S. Should a benign renal cyst be aspirated? *Br J Urol* 1983; **55**: 457–9

- 15 Bisceglia M, Galliani CA, Senger C, Stallone C, Sessna A. Renal cystic diseases: a review. Adv Anat Pathol 2006; 13: 26–56
- Kessel HC, Tynes WV. Spontaneous regression of renal cysts. Urology 1981; 17: 356–7
- 17 Dalton D, Neiman H, Grayhack JT. The natural history of simple renal cysts: a preliminary study. J Urol 1986; 135: 905–8
- 18 Israel GM, Bosniak MA. An update of the Bosniak renal cyst classification system. Urology 2005; 66: 484–8
- 19 Bosniak MA. The current radiological approach to renal cysts. *Radiology* 1986; 158: 1–10
- 20 Nishibuchi S, Suzuki Y, Okada K. [A case report of renal cell carcinoma in a renal cyst]. *Hinyokika Kiyo* 1992; 38: 181–4
- 21 **Bowers DL, Ikeguchi EF, Sawczuk IS.** Transition from renal cyst to a renal carcinoma detected by ultrasonography. *Br J Urol* 1997; **80**: 495–6
- 22 Sakai N, Kanda F, Kondo K *et al.* Sonographically detected malignant transformation of a simple renal cyst. *Int J Urol* 2001; **8**: 23–5
- 23 Warren KS, McFarlane J. The Bosniak classification of renal cystic masses. *BJU Int* 2005; **95**: 939–42
- 24 Gabr A, Gdor Y, Roberts WW, Wolf JS. Radiographic surveillance of minimally and moderately complex renal cysts. *BJU Int* 2000; **103**: 1116–9
- 25 Israel GM, Bosniak MA. Follow-up CT studies for moderately complex cystic renal masses (Bosniak category IIF). AJR Am J Roentgenol 2003; 181: 627–33
- 26 Farrell JI, Young RH. Hypertension
 caused by unilateral renal compression.
 JAMA 1942; 118: 711–2
- 27 Rockson SG, Stone RA, Gunnells JC Jr. Solitary renal cyst with segmental ischemia and hypertension. J Urol 1974; 112: 550-2
- 28 Hoard TD III, O'Brien P. Simple renal cyst and high renin hypertension cured by cyst decompression. J Urol 1976; 115: 326–7
- 29 Johnson JD, Radwin HM. High renin hypertension associated with renal cortical cyst. Urology 1976; 7: 508– 11
- 30 Luscher TF, Wanner C, Siegenthaler W *et al.* Simple renal cyst and

hypertension: cause or coincidence? *Clin Nephrol* 1986; **26**: 91–5

- 31 Holmberg G. Diagnostic aspects functional, significance and therapy of simple renal cysts. A clinical radiologic and experimental study. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1992; **145** (Suppl.): 1–48
- 32 Holmberg G, Hietala SO, Karp K, Ohberg L. Significance of simple renal cysts and percutaneous cyst puncture on renal function. Scand J Urol Nephrol 1994; 28: 35–8
- 33 Sterenson JJ, Sherwood T. Conservative management of renal masses. Br J Urol 1971; 43: 646–7
- 34 Bjerre P, Lindqvist B, Michaelson G. Pressure measurement in renal cysts. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1971; 27: 135–8
- 35 Raskin MM, Poole DO, Roen SA, Viamonte M. Percutaneous management of renal cysts: results of a four-year study. *Radiology* 1975; **115**: 551–3
- 36 Camacho MF, Bondhus MJ, Carrion HM, Lockhart JL, Politano VA. Ureteropelvic junction obstruction resulting from percutaneous cyst puncture and intracystic isophendylate injection: an unusual complication. J Urol 1979; 124: 713–4
- 37 Bean WJ. Renal cysts: treatment with alcohol. *Radiology* 1981; 138: 329–31
- 38 Amis ES, Cronan JJ, Yoder IC *et al.* Renal cyst: curios and caveats. *Urol Radiol* 1982; **4**: 199–209
- 39 Özgür S, Cetin S, Ilker Y. Percutaneous renal cyst aspiration and treatment with alcohol. *Int Urol Nephrol* 1988; 20: 481–4
- 40 Leinonen A, Siniluoto T, Päivänsalo M et al. Percutaneous aspiration and ethanol sclerotherapy of symptomatic hepatic cysts. Eur Radiol 1993; 3: 213–8
- 41 el Diasty TA, Shokeir AA, Tawfeek HA, Mahmoud NA, Nabeeh A, Ghoneim MA. Ethanol sclerotherapy for symptomatic simple renal cysts. *J Endourol* 1995; **9**: 273–6
- 42 Hanna RM, Dahniya MH. Aspiration and sclerotherapy of symptomatic simple renal cysts: value of two injections of a sclerosing agent. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1996; **167**: 781–3
- 43 Fontana D, Porpiglia F, Morra I, Destefanis P. Treatment of simple renal cysts by percutaneous drainage with three repeated alcohol injection. Urology 1999; 53: 904–3
- 44 Chung BH, Kim JH, Hong CH et al.

Comparison of single and multiple sessions of percutaneous sclerotherapy for simple renal cyst. *BJU Int* 2000; **85**: 626–7

- 45 Liatsikos EN, Siablis D, Karnabatidis D et al. Percutaneous treatment of large symptomatic renal cysts. J Endourol 2000; 14: 257–61
- 46 Bozkurt FB, Boyvat F, Tekin I, Aytekin C, Coskun M, Ozkardes H. Percutaneous sclerotherapy of a giant benign renal cyst with alcohol. *Eur J Radiol* 2001; 40: 64–7
- 47 De Dominicis C, Ciccariello M, Peris F et al. Percutaneous sclerotization of simple renal cysts with 95% ethanol followed by 24–48 h drainage with nephrostomy tube. Urol Int 2001; 66: 18–21
- 48 Delakas D, Karyotis I, Loumbakis P, Daskalopoulos G, Charoulakis N, Cranidis A. Long-term results after percutaneous minimally invasive procedure treatment of symptomatic simple renal cysts. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2001; 32: 321–6
- 49 Okeke AA, Mitchelmorre AE, Timoney AG. Comparison of single and multiple sessions of percutaneous sclerotherapy of simple renal cysts. *BJU Int* 2001; 87: 280
- 50 Paananen I, Hellström P, Leinonen S et al. Treatment of renal cysts with single session percutaneous drainage and ethanol sclerotherapy: long-term outcome. Urology 2001; **57**: 30–3
- 51 Martino P, Annunziata G, Saracino GA et al. Aspiration versus aspiration plus ultrasonography guided alcohol administration for simple renal cysts: recurrence and complications. *Arch Ital Urol Androl* 2002; 74: 216–8
- 52 Gasparini D, Sponza M, Valotto C, Marzio A, Luciani LG, Zattoni F. Renal cysts: can percutaneous ethanol injections be considered an alternative to surgery? Urol Int 2003; 71: 197– 200
- 53 Okeke AA, Mitchelmore AE, Keeley FX Jr *et al.* A comparison of aspiration and sclerotherapy with laparoscopic de-roofing in the management of symptomatic simple renal cysts. *BJU Int* 2003; **92**: 610–3
- 54 Touloupidis S, Fatles G, Rombis V, Papathanasiou A, Balaxis E. Percutaneous drainage of simple cysts of the kidney: a new method. Urol Int 2004; 73: 169–72

- 55 Akinci D, Akhan O, Ozmen M et al. Long term results of single-session percutaneous drainage and ethanol sclerotherapy in simple renal cysts. *Eur J Radiol* 2005; **54**: 298–302
- 56 Akinci D, Gumus B, Ozkan OS *et al.* Single session percutaneous ethanol sclerotherapy in simple renal cysts in children: long-term followup. *Pediatr Radiol* 2005; **35**: 155–8
- 57 Falci–Júnior R, Lucon AM, Cerri LM, Danilovic A, Da Rocha PC, Arap S. Treatment of simple renal cysts with single session percutaneous ethanol sclerotherapy without drainage of the sclerosing agent. *J Endourol* 2005; **19**: 834–8
- 58 Lin YH, Pan HB, Liang HL et al. Single session alcohol-retention sclerotherapy for simple renal cysts: comparison of 2-and 4-h retention techniques. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2005; 185: 860-6
- 59 Mohsen T, Gomha MA. Treatment of symptomatic simple renal cysts by percutaneous aspiration and ethanol sclerotherapy. *BJU Int* 2005; 96: 1369–72
- 60 Yang CF, Liang HL, Pan HB *et al.* Single-session prolonged alcoholretention sclerotherapy for large hepatic cysts. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2006; **187**: 940–3
- 61 Agut A, Soler M, Laredo FG *et al.* Imaging diagnosis: ultrasound-guided ethanol sclerotherapy for a simple renal cyst. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 2008; **49**: 65–7
- 62 Hahn ST, Han SY, Yun EH et al. Recurrence after percutaneous ethanol ablation of simple hepatic, renal, and splenic cysts: is it true recurrence requiring an additional treatment? Acta Radiol 2008; **49**: 982–6
- 63 Zerem E, Imamovic G, Omerovic S. Symptomatic simple renal cyst: comparison of continuous negativepressure catheter drainage and single session alcohol sclerotherapy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2008; **190**: 1193–7
- 64 Xu XX, Du Y, Yang HF, Zhang Q, Li Y, Zee CSC. T-guided sclerotherapy with ethanol concentration monitoring for treatment of renal cysts. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011; 196: W78–82
- 65 **Grabstald H.** Catheterization of renal cyst for diagnosis and therapeutic purposes. *J Urol* 1954; **71**: 28–31
- 66 **Pearman RO.** Percutaneous needle puncture and aspiration of renal cysts: a

SKOLARIKOS *ET AL.*

diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. J Urol 1966; **86**: 139–45

- 67 **Vestby GW.** Percutaneous needle puncture of renal cyst: new method in therapeutic management. *Invest Radiol* 1967; **2**: 449–62
- 68 Mindell HJ. On the use of pantopaque in renal cysts. *Radiology* 1976; **119**: 747–8
- 69 Zachrisson L. Simple renal cysts treated with bismuth-phosphate at the diagnostic puncture. *Acta Radiol Diagn* (*Stockh*) 1982; **23**: 209–18
- Holmberg G, Hietala SO. Treatment of simple renal cyst by percutaneous puncture and instillation of bismuthphosphate. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1989; 23: 207–12
- 71 Amis ES Jr, Cronan JJ, Pfister RC. Needle puncture of cystic renal masses: a survey of the Society of Uroradiology. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1987; 148: 297–9
- 72 Brown B, Sharifi R, Lee M. Ethanolamin sclerotherapy of a renal cyst. J Urol 1995; 153: 385–6
- 73 Bruce B, Roohollah S, Mary L et al. Ethanolamine sclerotherapy of a renal cyst. J Urol 1998; 153: 385–6
- 74 Yamamoto K, Sakaguchi H, Anai H et al. Sclerotherapy for simple cysts with use of ethanolamine oleate: preliminary experience. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2005; 28: 751–5
- 75 Pfister RC, Yoder IC, Newhouse JH. Percutaneous uroradiologic procedures. Semin Roentgenol 1981; 16: 135–51
- 76 Zou SZ, Fan WN, He XH. Percutaneous ultrasound guided injection of sodium morrhuate in the treatment of renal cystic masses. Br J Urol 1991; 68: 441–2
- 77 Reiner I, Donnell S, Jones M, Carty HL, Richwood AM. Percutaneous sclerotherapy for simple renal cysts in children. Br J Radiol 1992; 65: 281–2
- 78 Ohkawa M, Tokunaga S, Orito M et al. Percutaneous injection sclerotherapy with minocycline hydrochloride for simple renal cysts. *Int Urol Nephrol* 1993; 25: 37–43
- 79 Uemasu J, Fujiwara M, Munemura C, Tokumoto A, Kawasaki H. Effects of topical instillation of minocycline hydrochloride on cyst size and renal

function in polycystic kidney disease. *Clin Nephrol* 1993; **39**: 140–4

- 80 Kilinc M, Tufan O, Guven S, Odev K, Gurbuz R. Percutaneous injection sclerotherapy with tetracycline hydrochloride in simple renal cysts. Int Urol Nephrol 2008; 40: 609–13
- 81 Ricci P, Drudi FM, Salvatori FM et al. Percutaneous treatment of symptomatic renal cysts: effects of combination of sclerotherapy with alcohol and fibrin glue. Radiol Med 1993: 86: 657–61
- 82 Yamamoto Y, Matsuoka H, Yamane A et al. Treatment of simple renal cyst with CO₂ instillation. *Rinsho Hinyoukika* 1994; 48: 1994–8
- 83 Ohta S, Fujishiro Y, Fuse H. Polidocanol sclerotherapy for simple renal cysts. Urol Int 1997; 58: 145–7
- 84 Ohnishi K, Ohyama N, Ito S et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma: treatment with US-guided intratumoral injection of acetic acid. *Radiology* 1994; 193: 747–52
- 85 Kim YC, Oh JH, Yoon Y et al. An experimental study for efficacy of acetic acid as a sclerosing agent. J Korean Radiol Soc 1997; 37: 233–6
- 86 Seo TS, Oh JH, Yoon Y *et al.* Acetic acid as a sclerosing agent for renal cysts: comparison with ethanol in follow-up results. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2000; 23: 177–81
- 87 Kwon SH, Oh JH, Seo TS, Park HC. Efficacy of single-session percutaneous drainage and 50% acetic acid sclerotherapy for treatment of simple renal cysts. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2007; 30: 1227–33
- 88 Cho DS, Ahn HS, Kim SI et al. Sclerotherapy of renal cysts using acetic acid: a comparison with ethanol sclerotherapy. Br J Radiol 2008; 81: 946–9
- 89 Yoo KH, Lee SJ, Jeon SH. Simple renal cyst sclerotherapy with acetic acid: our 10 years experience. *J Endourol* 2008; 22: 2559–63
- 90 Phelan M, Zajko A, Hrebinko RL. Preliminary results of percutaneous treatment of renal cysts with povidoneiodine sclerosis. *Urology* 1999; 53: 816–7

- 91 Madeb R, Feldman PA, Knopf J *et al.* Povidone iodine sclerotherapy is ineffective as the treatment of symptomatic renal cysts. *J Endourol* 2006; **20**: 402–4
- 92 Kim SH, Moon MW, Lee HJ, Sim JS, Kim SH, Ahn C. Renal cyst ablation with n-butyl cyanoacrylate and iodized oil in symptomatic patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: preliminary report. *Radiology* 2003; **226**: 573–6
- 93 **Baysal T, Soylu A.** Percutaneous treatment of simple renal cysts with n-butyl cyanoacrylate and iodized oil. *Diagn Interv Radiol* 2009; **15**: 148– 52
- 94 Kim JH, Lee JT, Kim EK *et al*. Percutaneous sclerotherapy of renal cysts with a beta-emitting radionuclide, holmium-166-chitosan complex. *Korean J Radiol* 2004; **5**: 128–33
- 95 Demir E, Alan C, Kilciler M et al. Comparison of ethanol and sodium tetradecyl sulfate in the sclerotherapy of renal cyst. J Endourol 2007; 21: 903–5
- 96 Egilmez H, Gok V, Oztoprak l et al. Comparison of CT-guided sclerotherapy with using 95% ethanol and 20% hypertonic saline for managing simple renal cyst. Korean J Radiol 2007; 8: 512–9
- 97 Ham WS, Lee JH, Kim WT et al. Comparison of multiple session 99% ethanol and single session OK-432 sclerotherapy for the treatment of simple renal cysts. J Urol 2008; 180: 2552–6
- 98 Choi YD, Ham WS, Kim WT et al. Clinical experience of single-session percutaneous aspiration and OK-432 sclerotherapy for treatment of simple renal cysts: 1 year follow-up. J Endourol 2009; 23: 1001–6

Correspondence: Andreas Skolarikos, Athens Medical School, 2nd Department of Urology, Sismanoglio Hospital, Athens, Greece. e-mail: andskol@yahoo.com

Abbreviations: US, ultrasonography; STDS, sodium tetradecyl sulphate.